



**Minutes of the 24th Meeting of the Permanent
UNIMARC Committee
2014 May 12-13
IZUM Institute of Information Science
Maribor, Slovenia**

Present: Ms. Maria Inês Cordeiro (National Library of Portugal), Director of the UNIMARC Strategic Programme
Ms. Rosa Galvão (National Library of Portugal)
Mr. Massimo Gentili-Tedeschi (Biblioteca Nazionale Braidense)
Mr. Philippe Le Pape (ABES, France)
Ms. Patrizia Martini (ICCU, Italy)
Ms. Gordana Mazić (IZUM, Slovenia)
Ms. Regina Varnienė-Janssen (National Library of Lithuania)
Mr. Jay Weitz (OCLC, USA), Vice Chair, rapporteur
Ms. Mirna Willer (University of Zadar, Croatia), Honorary Member and Special Consultant
Ms. Olga Zhlobinskaya (National Library of Russia)

1. Opening of the Meeting

Ms. Maria Inês Cordeiro, Director of the UNIMARC Strategic Programme, opened the 24th meeting of the Permanent UNIMARC Committee (PUC) at 9:45 a.m. on Monday, 2014 May 12, and adjourned at 7:00 p.m. The Tuesday, 2014 May 13 session opened at 9:35 a.m. and adjourned at 7:25 p.m.

Note that these minutes do not necessarily reflect the chronological order in which each topic was discussed.

2. Information/Discussion About the PUC Future Leadership

Along with other IFLA “core activities,” the name of the UNIMARC Core Activity was changed to the UNIMARC Strategic Programme (USP). The PUC still maintains the UNIMARC formats. Ms. Cordeiro continues to head the USP at the National Library of Portugal, and serves as its liaison to IFLA. In discussions of future PUC leadership, the

consensus was that the PUC should be led by member of an institution actually using UNMARC and providing UNIMARC services. Ms. Cordeiro would be willing to hand the USP over to another national administrator. In discussions, the ICCU in Italy emerged as the institution most able and willing to assume that administrative hosting role for the PUC. Ms. Martini will follow-up with the ICCU director about the possibility of assuming the hosting role. (**Action, Ms. Martini**) (No French institution would be willing to take on that responsibility. Both Lithuania and Slovenia felt themselves to be too small. In Russia with its three national libraries, there was too much internal conflict between MARC 21 and UNIMARC and not enough support of UNIMARC.)

Even if Portugal relinquishes the role of UNIMARC host, it will of course continue as a PUC member, because it remains a strong user of UNIMARC. Ms. Cordeiro emphasized that the administrative role gets intense only three or four times a year. Its funding needs are not large, but it always take an effort to convince administrators to fund the programme. Chasing people down to make presentations is always an effort. English expertise is essential because the format manuals are published in English. Ms. Cordeiro may be willing to continue helping to edit the UNIMARC format documents.

Current PUC Chair Mr. Alan Hopkinson, who suffered a debilitating brain aneurism in early 2013, seems unlikely to recover to an extent that he would again be able to work actively on UNIMARC. Ms. Cordeiro is willing to serve as interim chair with assistance from Ms. Galvão for a transitional period, but would like to have someone else become chair as soon as possible. As Chair of the ISBD Review Group, Ms. Willer is too swamped to resume her former post of PUC Chair.

3. Constitution of the PUC

More representation from Asia (Japan), Africa (Senegal, Morocco, Tunisia, Niger, Francophone and Portuguese-speaking countries, in particular), possibly as Corresponding members would be desirable and will be investigated. (**Action: Ms. Cordeiro**)

4. Approval of the Minutes of the Informal Meeting Held in Singapore, August 2013

The Singapore minutes were approved, with the removal of the designation “Draft.” The minutes of this informal meeting need not be published online.

Review of the Action List on Pages 2-3:

| Action | Status |
|---|--|
| 4. IFLA to provide private closed space for PUC | PUC no longer has access to the most recent workable version of U/B. Ms. Cordeiro will ask Saur to give access to the file, as they should have it. (Action: Ms. Cordeiro) Otherwise, we may need to convert a PDF version to Word. Mr. Simon Francis, a London friend of Mr. Hopkinson, may have access to Mr. Hopkinson’s files; Ms. Mazić will follow up with him. (Action: Ms. Mazić) Mr. Gentili-Tedeschi has set up a workspace using PBWorks (http://unimarc.pbworks.com/w/page/80192555/Permanent%20Unimarc%20Committee) It has archiving capability (for approved proposals, proposals not approved but closed), a place for pending proposals (each one its own folder to allow for multiple iterations), UNIMARC documentation: U/B, U/A, U/C, U/H, guidelines (including most-current versions); PUC meetings (meeting documents distributed, minutes). |
| 8. Create online framework for annual update | Completed. All updates through December 2012 are currently available online. This will continue each time more updates are approved. |
| 40. Edit Guidelines for Archives | Completed. Ms. Zhlobinskaya has submitted the Guidelines for Archives for consideration. |

5. Approval of the Minutes of the Informal Meeting Held in Helsinki, August 2012

The issue of “see also” references outlined on Pages 7-8 of the Helsinki minutes (VII. UNIMARC/Authorities Format) needs to be reconsidered:

Corrections to U/A 3rd Edition, Page 101 (Example of Instructional Phrases Generated from Relationship Codes (in English)):

| | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| m = founder (has founded) | see also under <i>founder's name</i> |
| n = founded by | see also under <i>founder's name</i> |
| s = owner's name | see also under <i>owner's name</i> |
| t = owned by | see also under <i>owner's name</i> |

Otherwise, the Helsinki minutes are approved, with removal of the designation “Draft.” These minutes will be published online.

6. Approval of the Minutes of the 23rd PUC Meeting Held in Lisbon,

March 2012

These minutes are approved and have already been published online. Because Mr. Hopkinson had not yet circulated the Italian documents mentioned on Page 8 of the minutes, it was requested that Ms. Martini resend the documents to the PUC”

| Number | Source | Brief Title/Description | Status(Date) |
|---------------|---------------|--------------------------------|--|
| 2011/01 | ICCU | U/B 116 Codes \$a0-1 | Proposed 1/11; <i>Ms. Martini will confer with Italian experts, revise proposals and circulate to PUC for comments, by 2012 April 30. (Action: Ms. Martini, 2012 April 30)</i> |
| 2011/02 | ICCU | U/B 116 Codes \$a10-15 | Proposed 1/11; <i>Ms. Martini will confer with Italian experts, revise proposals and circulate to PUC for comments, by 2012 April 30. (Action: Ms. Martini, 2012 April 30)</i> |

7. Status of the Revision Work Since Last Meeting

Ms. Cordeiro raised concerns about the redundancies created by the coding of ISBD Area 0 in U/B 181, 182, 203, and related fields, but others noted that UNIMARC must accommodate ISBD directly (and RDA at least indirectly). There was general agreement that the examples are overly complex; instead we will take the examples outlined in the ISBD Area 0 and translate them into the coded fields. (**Action: Mr. Weitz**)

It was suggested that a set of UNIMARC guidelines might be useful spelling out the various options for ISBD Area 0; outlining all of the related coded and textual fields having to do with content, medium, and carrier; how they relate to each other; and recommending best practices to cover all important elements yet keeping redundancy to a minimum. U/B fields considered could include 105-145, 180, 181, 182, 183, 203, and 283.

2010/7: U/B 181: Coded Data Field – Content Form

Accepted, pending new examples, with the following changes:

- Field name: “Coded Data Field – Content Form.”
- Field Definition: “This field contains fixed-length data specifying the content form and content qualification of the resource being described, corresponding to the first element of ISBD Area 0.”
- Occurrence: “Optional. Repeatable when more than one system code is used or when a resource consists of different content forms in different media types (e.g., a kit resource).”
- Indicator 2: “ISBD display indicator”

The second indicator specifies whether this field is used to generate the ISBD Area 0 for print or other displays of the record.

- 0 Not used to generate ISBD Area 0 displays
- 1 Used to generate ISBD Area 0 displays
- # Information not provided (use when the field contains subfield \$c)

- Subfield \$a:

Two character positions specifying the Content Form that applies to the resource, according to the provisions of ISBD for this element, and the extent of applicability of a Content Form to the resource described. All data entered in subfield \$a are identified by character position within the subfield. Conventionally the character positions are numbered 0-1. Optional. Repeatable for different Content Forms contained in one Media Type.

Table of Subfield \$a Fixed-Length Data Elements:

| Name of Data Element | Number of Characters | Character Positions |
|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Content Form | 1 | 0 |
| Extent of Applicability | 1 | 1 |

- Subfield \$b:

Six character positions specifying the Content Qualification applying to the resource, according to the provisions of ISBD for

this element. All data entered in subfield \$b are identified by character position within the subfield. Conventionally, the character positions are numbered 0-5. Optional. Repeatable for different Content Forms contained in one Media Type.

Table of Subfield \$b Fixed-Length Data Elements:

| Name of Data Element | Number of Characters | Character Positions |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Specification of Type | 1 | 0 |
| Specification of Motion | 1 | 1 |
| Specification of Dimensionality | 1 | 2 |
| Sensory Specification | 3 | 3-5 |

- Subfield \$c:

A code for a system code other than ISBD (for example, codes from *Term and Code List for RDA Content Types*, <http://www.loc.gov/standards/valuelist/rdacontent.html>, defined for use in MARC 21). Optional. Repeatable.

- Subfield \$6:

Data required to link the field with other fields in the record (for example, to link to field 182 – Coded Data Field - Media Type) and thus to generate a display for ISBD Area 0 when the resource is of a mixed media. Repeatable.

- Notes on Field Contents:

- It was decided not to add the ISBD definitions to the codes because keeping the definitions in synch with ISBD might prove to be problematic.
- Subfield \$a/1: Extent of applicability

One-character position contains a numeric code indicating the extent of applicability of a Content Form to the resource being described. Five values are defined:

0 = none

1 = some

2 = substantial
3 = predominate
4 = full
= position not used

- Subfield \$b/0:

...
x = not applicable
= position not used

- Subfield \$b/1:

...
x = not applicable (resource is not an image)
= position not used

- Subfield \$b/2:

...
x = not applicable (resource is not an image)
= position not used

- Subfield \$b/3-5:

Three character positions available for sensory specification codes, entered from left to right. Any unused positions are filled with blanks.

These codes indicate what sensory qualification applies to the content of the resource, according to the stipulations of ISBD.

a = aural
b = gustatory
c = olfactory
d = tactile
e = visual
= position not used

- Related Fields:

- 182: Coded Data – Media Type

This field corresponds to the second element of the ISBD Content Form and Media Type Area. It can be combined with field 181 to generate automatically the ISBD area 0 for print or other displays of the record.

- 203: Content Form and Media Type area

This field corresponds to the ISBD Content Form and Media Type Area. If the system cannot display information from coded data field 181 and 182, the information can be given in plain text in field 203.

2010/8: U/B 182: Coded Data Field – Media Type

Accepted, pending new examples, with the following changes:

- Field name: “Coded Data Field – Media Type.”
- Field Definition: “This field contains fixed-length data specifying the media type of the resource being described.”
- Occurrence: “Optional. Repeatable when more than one system code is used or when a resource consists of mixed media (e.g., a kit resource).”
- Indicator 2: “ISBD display indicator”

The second indicator specifies whether this field is used to generate the ISBD Area 0 for print or other displays of the record.

0 Not used to generate ISBD Area 0 displays

1 Used to generate ISBD Area 0 displays

Information not provided (use when the field contains subfield \$c)

- Subfield \$a:

One character position specifying the media type that applies to the resource, according to the provisions of ISBD for this element.

Optional. Not repeatable.

- Subfield \$c:

A code for a system code other than ISBD (for example, codes from "Term and Code List for RDA Media Types"

(<http://www.loc.gov/standards/valuelist/rdamedia.html>) defined for use in MARC 21. Optional. Repeatable.

- Subfield \$6:
Data required to link the field with other fields in the record (for example, to link to field 181 – Coded Data Field – Content Form) and thus to generate a display for ISBD Area 0 when the resource is of a mixed media. Repeatable.
- Notes on Field Contents:
 - Subfield \$a/0: Change the following:
 $n = \text{unmediated}$
- Related Fields:
 - 181: Coded Data Field – Content Form
 - 203: Content Form and Media Type
This field corresponds to the ISBD Content Form and Media Type Area. If the system cannot display information from coded data field 181 and 182, the information can be given in plain text in field 203.

2010/9: U/B 183: Coded Data Field – Carrier Type

Withdrawn; superseded by **2014/1** and **2014/2**

2010/10: U/B 203: Content Form and Media Type

Accepted, pending new examples, with the following changes:

- Field Definition: “This field corresponds to ISBD Area 0 Content Form and Media Type area, whose purpose is to indicate at the very beginning of the description both the fundamental form or forms in which the content of a resource is expressed and the type or types of carrier used to convey that content so as to assist catalogue users in identifying and selecting resources appropriate to their needs.”
- Subfield \$c:

Media type categories record the type or types of carrier used to convey the content of the resource. Terms defined for ISBD Media Type element must be used. Mandatory. Not repeatable.

- Related Fields:
 - 181: Coded Data Field – Content Form
If ISBD Area 0 elements are not generated from Coded Data Field 181, the information shall be given in plain text in field 203.
 - 182: Coded Data Field – Media Type
If ISBD Area 0 elements are not generated from Coded Data Field 182, the information shall be given in plain text in field 203.

2013/1: U/B 371 and U/H 371: Notes on Information Service Policy

Accepted Option 2 with the definition of First Indicator and the following changes:

- Field Definition: “This field contains a note on the terms of access, and governing use and reproduction policy.”
- Indicator 1:

Define one additional Indicator 1 value:
#: Information not provided.
- Subfield \$a:

The text of a legal or official statement of restrictions. Mandatory.
Not repeatable.
- Subfield \$b:

Add designation “Optional.”
- Subfield \$c:

Add designation “Optional.”
- Instead of subfield \$z, define subfield \$8 as “Materials specified.”
- Notes on Field Contents:

Access note may contain information on limited distribution. Use and reproduction note includes copyrights, trade restrictions, etc. that restrict the right to reproduce, exhibit, quote, etc. materials after access has been provided. If more than one note is to be recorded, each is entered in a repeated field 371.

- Examples:

Example 1:

371 ##\$aReproduction only for non-profit projects

Example 4:

371 0#\$aConfidential\$bNational Archives\$8private letters

2013/2: U/B and U/A Control Subfield \$6: Interfield Linking Data

Accepted.

Control subfield \$6, “Interfield Linking Data,” is now repeatable throughout U/B (pages 16-18) and U/A (page 103). In U/A, the restrictions to use in the 3--, 4--, and 5-- areas have been removed.

Repeated control subfields \$6 will be necessary, for instance, when an ISNI (U/A 010) refers to a name that appears in more than one script.

In all control subfield \$6 specifications, change references from “ISNI number” to simply “ISNI.”

2013/3: U/A 200, 210, 220; 400, 410, 420; 500, 510, 520: Authorized, Variant, and Related Access Points for Personal, Corporate Body, and Family Names

Accepted, pending new examples (**Action: Mr. Gentili-Tedeschi, Mr. Le Pape**), with the following changes:

- To U/A 200, 210, 220, 400, 410, 420, 500, 510, 520:

- Add Repeatable subfield \$6 “Interfield Linking Data”

See specification of Control Subfields above. Repeatable.

In cases of multiple identities occurring in the same record, i.e. when the 010 field is repeated, use to link the 010 field

containing an ISNI with the 200 field containing the name to be associated with that ISNI.

- To U/A 500, 510, 520:

- Add Repeatable subfield \$o “International Standard Name Identifier (ISNI)”

The subfield \$o (International Standard Name Identifier) is used in field 500/510/520 to record the ISNI of the related identity. When the preferred access point of an authority record that contains field 010 is transferred to the 500/510/520 access point of another record (while establishing a link between both authority records), the number contained in the 010 field of the first record will also be transferred in a devoted subfield \$o.

2013/4: U/A 010: International Standard Name Identifier (ISNI)

Accepted, with the following changes:

- Subfield \$a:

A correctly applied ISNI is a compact 16 digit number (without blank spaces). Mandatory unless subfield \$z is present. Not repeatable.

- Subfield \$y:

This includes any ISNI that was formerly valid but which has been cancelled by the ISNI Assignment Agency. Optional. Repeatable.

- Subfield \$z:

An erroneous ISNI that has been identified as being erroneously applied to an identity or is otherwise invalid. It may have been allocated to two different identities and in this instance cancelled. Optional. Repeatable.

- Subfield \$6:

Use in cases of multiple identities occurring in the same record, i.e. when the 010 field is repeated. Used to link the 010 field

containing an ISNI with the 200, 210, 220, or 400, 410, 420 fields for the name associated with that ISNI. Optional. Repeatable.

- Note on Field Contents:

The ISNI is assigned, in accordance with ISO 27729, by the ISNI Assignment Agency, in a centralized way. An ISNI is a “dumb” number. No meaning is embedded in, conveyed by, or imputed to any part or subset of the number. ...

- Data Formats:

... The 16 digits of the number are entered in a compact form, without blank spaces or punctuation, and not preceded by the letters ISNI. ...

- Related Fields:

Add the following fields:

220: Authorized Access Point – Family Name

420: Variant Access Point – Family Name

Delete the following fields:

500: Related Access Point – Personal Name

510: Related Access Point – Corporate Body Name

- Examples:

Example 1: Delete. Substitute another simple example from an authority file other than that of the BnF.

Example 2: Fix 300 fields:

300 0# \$aPhilosophe et anthropologue

300 0# \$aMembre de l'Académie française (élu en 1974)

Example 3: Correct 400 field:

400 #1

\$5f\$6z02010\$7ba0yba0y\$8fre\$aPoirier\$bLouis\$f1910-
2007

Example 4: Correct 300 fields and explanation:

300 0# \$aArchéologue

300 0# \$aAuteur de romans policies

300 0# \$aArchéozoologue
300 0# \$aMembre de l'ERA 38 du Centre de recherches archéologiques du CNRS de Sophia-Antipolis, Alpes de Haute-Provence et de l'URA 1415 (en 1991)
300 0# \$aConnue également comme auteur de romans policiers sous le pseudonyme "Vargas, Fred (1957-....)"

Each of the two records above contains a separate identity for the same person. Each record contains one 010 field for the ISNI associated with the identity represented in the record. Both records are linked by 500 fields, each containing in subfield \$o the ISNI for the related identity.

2013/5: U/A Control Subfield \$5 Discussion Paper

This discussion paper, regarding the use of either control subfield \$5 or a newly-defined subfield to characterize each name in the authority record for an authorized personal, corporate body, or family name access point, will be presented and discussed at the meeting of the PUC in August 2014 in Lyon, France.

2014/1: U/B 183: Coded Data Field – Carrier Type

Accepted, with the following changes:

- **Field Definition**

This field contains coded data specifying the carrier type of the resource being described. Its primary purpose is to accommodate the *carrier type* element as defined in MARC 21 field 338 subfield \$b, but it remains hospitable to codes from possible different source vocabularies. Subfield \$a, though not defined, has been reserved for a possible ISBD controlled list of codes.

- Indicator 2: Display Indicator

Indicates whether the agency providing the record would use the data in this field to automatically generate the carrier type in textual form.

- 0 Not used to generate displays
- 1 Used to generate displays
- # Information not provided

- Subfield \$c:

A coded value specifying the carrier type applying to the resource, according to the provisions of the system specified in subfield \$2. Mandatory if subfield \$a is not present. Repeatable when several carrier types, associated with the same media type, are present in the resource.

- Subfield \$2:

Source of the code used in subfield \$c. Mandatory if subfield \$c is present. Not repeatable.

- Subfield \$6:

Data required to link the field with other fields in the record (for example with field 182 - Coded Data Field: Media Type).

Repeatable.

- Notes on Field Contents:

If a textual form of the carrier type designation is desired, use field 283 (Coded Data Field – Carrier Type), preferably in addition to field 183. In that case, indicator 2 should be set to 0 in field 183.

When subfield \$c is used for MARC 21 carrier types, use the “Term and Code List for RDA Carrier Types”

(<http://www.loc.gov/standards/valuelist/rdacarrier.html>, maintained by the Library of Congress. Many of the values present in this list are actually redundant with values coded in other UNIMARC/Bibliographic 1XX fields.

- Related Fields:

Include only the following fields:

182: Coded Data Field – Media Type

This field corresponds to the Media Type element of the ISBD Area 0. It can be combined with field 181 to generate automatically the ISBD area 0 for print or other displays of the record.

283: Carrier Type

This field holds data pertaining to the carrier type(s) of the resource, in textual form.

- Examples: Correct as follows:

EX 1A: 183 #1\$cnc\$2rdacarrier

Coded information for specifying the carrier type “volume” (value: nc) according to *Term and Code List for RDA Carrier Types* (as indicated in subfield \$2). Indicator 2 has been set to 1 (“Used to generate displays”). Field 283 is not present.

EX 1B: 183 #0\$cnc\$2rdacarrier

283 ##\$cVolume\$2rdacarrier

Coded information for specifying the carrier type “volume” (value: nc) according to *Term and Code List for RDA Carrier Types* (as indicated in subfield \$2). Indicator 2 has been set to 0 (“Not used to generate displays”). Field 283 is present.

EX 2: 183 #1\$csd\$2rdacarrier\$8main part

183 #1\$cnb\$2rdacarrier\$8liner notes

Coded information for specifying the carrier types “audio disc” (value: sd) and “sheet” (value: nb) according to *Term and Code List for RDA Carrier Types* (as indicated in subfield \$2). The carrier type “audio disc” applies to the main part of the resource, whereas “sheet” applies to

accompanying textual material (as mentioned in subfields \$8). Indicator 2 has been set to 1 (“Used to generate displays”). Field 283 is not present.

EX 3: The resource is comprised of a printed score and a video DVD. The cataloguing agency considers the DVD to be the main part of the resource. Both parts have different media types. There are two options:

EX 3A: 215 ##\$a 1 score (72 pages) \$d 31 cm

```
215 ##$a1videodisc$cDVD video, sound$d4 3/4in
181 #1$ad#$baxx##
181 #1$ab#$bba2ae#
181 #1$cntm$2rdacontent
181 #1$ctdi$2rdacontent
182 #1$6z01$an
182 #1$6z02$ag
182 #1$6z01$cn$2rdamedia
182 #1$6z02$cv$2rdamedia
183 #1$6z01$cnc$2rdacarrier
183 #1$6z02$cvd$2rdacarrier
```

Option 1: The data are carried only in coded form. Fields 182 (Media Type) are present both with ISBD and RDA coded values. Note the use of \$6 subfields to link the 183 fields to the relevant 182 fields.

EX 3B: 215##\$a 1 score (72 pages) \$d 31 cm

```
215 ##$a 1 videodisc $c DVD video, sound $d 4
3/4 in
181 #0$ad#$baxx##
181 #0$ab#$bba2ae#
181 #0$cntm$2rdacontent
181 #0$ctdi$2rdacontent
182 #0$6z01$an
```

182 #0\$6z02\$ag
182 #0\$6z01\$cn\$2rdamedia
182 #0\$6z02\$cv\$2rdamedia
183 #0\$6z01\$cnc\$2rdacarrier
183 #0\$6z02\$cvd\$2rdacarrier
203 #\$6z01\$amusic\$bnotated\$bvisual\$cunmediated
203 ##\$6z02\$aimage\$bmoving\$btwo-dimensional\$cvideo
283 ##\$6z01\$cvolume\$2rdacarrier
283 ##\$6z02\$cvideodisc\$2rdacarrier

Option 2: The data are carried both in coded and textual forms. Indicators 2 in 18- fields set to 0.

2014/2: U/B 283: Carrier Type

Accepted, with the following changes:

- Field Definition:

This field contains textual data specifying the carrier type of the resource being described. Its primary purpose is to accommodate the carrier type element as defined in MARC 21 field 338 subfield \$a, but it remains hospitable to terms from possible different source vocabularies. Subfield \$a, though not defined, has been reserved for a possible ISBD controlled list of terms.
- Subfield \$c:

The carrier type applying to the resource. Mandatory if subfield \$a is not present. Repeatable when several carrier types, associated with the same media type, are present in the resource.
- Subfield \$2:

Source of the carrier type designation used in subfield \$c.
Mandatory if subfield \$a is not present. Not repeatable.
- Subfield \$6:

Data required to link the field with other fields in the record (for example with field 203 - Content Form and Media Type).

Repeatable.

- Notes on Field Contents:

If a textual form of the carrier type designation is desired, use field 283 (Coded Data Field – Carrier Type), preferably in addition to field 183. In that case, indicator 2 should be set to 0 in field 183. When subfield \$c is used for MARC 21 carrier types, use the “Term and Code List for RDA Carrier Types” (<http://www.loc.gov/standards/valuelist/rdacarrier.html>), maintained by the Library of Congress. Many of the values present in this list are actually redundant with values coded in other UNIMARC/Bibliographic 1XX fields.

- Related Fields:

Include only fields 183 and 203.

- Examples:

Example 1:

183 #0\$cnc\$2rdacarrier

283 ##\$cVolume\$2rdacarrier

Data for specifying the carrier type “volume”, both in coded and in textual form. In field 183, the coded value (nc) is taken from *Term and Code List for RDA Carrier Types* (as indicated in subfield \$2). Indicator 2 has been set to 0 (“Not used to generate displays”).

Example 2:

...

283 ##\$cAudio disc\$8main part\$2rdacarrier

283 ##\$cSheet\$8liner notes\$2rdacarrier

Data for specifying the carrier types “audio disc” and “sheet”, both in coded and in textual form. In

fields 183, the coded values (sd and nb) are taken from *Term and Code List for RDA Carrier Types* (as indicated in subfields \$2). The carrier type “audio disc” applies to the main part of the resource, whereas “sheet” applies to accompanying textual material (as mentioned in subfields \$8). Indicator 2 of field 183 has been set to 0 (“Not used to generate displays”).

8. Guidelines for Manuscripts and Guidelines for Archives

UNIMARC Guidelines No. 9: Manuscripts (dated 2012-03-13) has been accepted and will be published with the date May 2014, with the following corrections:

- References to “UNIMARC Core Activity” (on cover and page 1) should be updated to “UNIMARC Strategic Programme.”
- Page 4:
 - Designation of a named revision of a work: Version of the manuscript if it is necessary to identify different versions (e.g. “2nd draft” “copy out before printing”).
 - Publication, distribution, etc.: For manuscripts, the unpublished nature of the resource should be indicated.
 - Footnote 1: Because of the likelihood of errors being introduced each time a manuscript was copied, it may be necessary to identify different versions.
- Page 6:
 - The collection contained the manuscript → The collection containing the manuscript.
 - A collection of manuscripts bound in an “artificial” collection → Manuscripts bound in an “artificial” collection.
 - The identification of the subsequent manuscript after the first → The identification of a subsequent manuscript.
 - The identification of the first manuscript → correct as it is.
 - Title by which manuscript is generally known → correct as it is.

- Page 7:
 - Record label: analytical (for a part of a manuscript) → analytic (for a part of a manuscript).

The Draft 2nd Version of *Guidelines for Archives* (dated 2013) remains in progress.

9. Session at IFLA Conference 2014

The UNIMARC Strategic Programme will co-present with the Bibliography Section, the Classification and Indexing Section, and the Cataloguing Section an open session at the IFLA conference in Lyon, France, entitled “Universal Bibliographic Control in the Digital Age: Golden Opportunity or Paradise Lost?,” on Monday, 2014 August 18, 9:30-15:45. It will feature the following program:

- Keynote: Authorities, Entities, and Communities: How These Three Things are Changing Bibliographic Control, Ted Fons (OCLC, Dublin, Ohio, United States)
- We are All Catalogers Now: Leveraging Do It Yourself Metadata for Research Collections, Rebecca Lubas (Claremont Colleges, Claremont, California, United States)
- Self-Publishing: A New Challenge for Universal Bibliographic Control, Robert P. Holley (Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, United States)
- Enriching the Catalog with Online Exposed Bibliographic Data: Interaction between the Local and the National Catalog via Web, Philippe Bourdenet (Université du Maine, Le Mans, France)
- Authors and Authorities in Post-RDA Library Systems: A Case Study, Heather Moulaison (University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri, United States)
- Hitting a Moving Target: Cataloging in the Age of Emerging Technologies, Bobby Bothmann (Minnesota State University, Mankato, Mankato, Minnesota, United States)
- Linked Data Cloud in Farsi Subject Headings: Case Study at the NLAI, Mitra Samiee, (National Library and Archives of Iran, Tehran, Iran) and Kuhyar Davallu (Art University, Tehran, Iran)

- ISNI and VIAF: Transforming Ways of Trustfully Consolidating Identities, Anila Angjeli, Vincent Boulet (Bibliothèque nationale de France, Paris, France) and Andrew Macewan (British Library, London, United Kingdom)
- Keynote: UBC Reloaded: Remembrance of Things Past and Visions for the Future, Gildas Illien and Françoise Bourdon (Bibliothèque nationale de France, Paris, France)
- Reuse of Library Thesaurus Data as Ontologies for the Public Sector, Mikko Lappalainen, Susanna Nykyri, and Matias Frosterus (National Library of Finland, Helsinki, Finland)
- FRBR and Serials: The PRESSoo Model, François-Xavier Pelegrin (ISSN International Center, Paris, France) and Patrick Le Bœuf (Bibliothèque nationale de France, Paris, France)
- The Local in the Global: Universal Bibliographic Control from the Bottom Up, Gordon Dunsire (Independent consultant, Edinburgh, Scotland, United Kingdom), Mirna Willer (University of Zadar, Zadar, Croatia)

10. Outreach Activities, Website, Users, Systems Suppliers, and Record Providers

The UNIMARC Survey 2014 was distributed using list from the Conference of Directors of National Libraries (CDNL), plus bibliographic utilities. The PUC hopes to receive at least a small number of reliable responses from each country

11. Information Regarding the UNIMARC in RDF Project

The spreadsheets currently have all U/B and most of U/A accounted for. Definitions for all subfields will be added but they need to be edited. The Open Metadata Registry (OMR) is creating a service to automatically process such spreadsheets and will no longer require the labor-intensive manual work of the past. Right now, UNIMARC “is safe within this service” according to Ms. Willer. It is unlikely that any additional expense will be required on the part of the PUC.

12. Information from Other Groups: ISBD RG, Namespaces TF, FRBR RG, and Cataloguing Section

This will be discussed at the IFLA Conference in Lyon, France, in August 2014.

13. Future Developments of the Format Resulting from FRBR, FRANAR, ISBD

No substantive discussion at this time.

14. Relations with Other International Organizations and Committees

Mr. Gentili-Tedeschi has received the Portuguese translations of the names of musical instruments for the IAML Subcommission on UNIMARC.

Because of its relationship with Mr. Gordon Dunsire, the new Chair of the Joint Steering Committee for RDA, the PUC does not really need formal contact with the JSC. In any case, the JSC does not have that sort of liaison/representative structure. The PUC also has similarly close informal relations with the ISBD Review Group through its Chair, Ms. Willer.

The second phase of work is currently underway in the Namespaces group, with mappings being added to Namespaces from paper versions.

15. Other Business

There was no other business.

16. Date and Place of Next Meeting

There will be an informal meeting of the PUC at the IFLA Conference in Lyon, France, in August 2014. When the PUC decides on the time, Mr. Weitz can schedule the OCLC hospitality suite for this meeting (as well as for the Namespaces group, if necessary).

(Action: Mr. Weitz) There will also be an open session at the IFLA conference entitled “Universal Bibliographic Control in the Digital Age: Golden Opportunity or Paradise Lost?,” cosponsored by the Bibliography Section, the Classification and Indexing

Section, the Cataloguing Section, and the UNIMARC Strategic Programme, to be held on Monday, 2014 August 18, 9:30-15:45.

Tentative scheduling of the 25th meeting of the PUC for March/April 2015 in Rome, Italy, is in progress. (**Action, Ms. Cordeiro**)

Respectfully submitted by Mr. Jay Weitz, OCLC Online Computer Library Center

2014 May 22